

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/545,536	04/07/2000	Rodney W. Salo	980457.ORI	1825
7590 08/26/2004			EXAMINER	
C G Mersereau Esq			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
NIKOLAI MERSEREAU & DIETZ P A 820 International Centre			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
900 Second Avenue South			3762	
Minneapolis, MN 55402-3325			DATE MAILED: 08/26/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· <del></del>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/545,536	SALO ET AL.	$\mathcal{O}_{m{\nu}}$				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mark W Bockelman	3762	,				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Externance - If the - If NO - Failury - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC msions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set of extended period for	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a) In no event, however, mication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) II, by statute, cause the application to becon	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this c me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on · .						
'_	•	This action is non-final.		•				
3)								
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)	<ul><li>☐ Claim(s) 1-4,9,10 and 13-19 is/are rejected.</li><li>☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li></ul>							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.  37 CFR 1.78.  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen	• •	_						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap	O-948) 5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No re of Informal Patent Application (PT r:					

## DETAILED ACTION

## Double Patenting

Claims 1-4, 9-10 and 13-19 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 20-40 of copending Application No.09/734,282. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the current claims are merely broader in scope with the elimination of claimed features being obvious to one of any skill in the art..

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W Bockelman whose telephone number is (703)-308-2112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10-8:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-0858.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,536

Art Unit: 3762

Page 3

MWB

August 20, 2004

WARK BOCKELMAN DRIMARY EXAMINER